



Rule 17g-7 Information Disclosure Form

Ratings

Senior Notes HR BBB- (G)

Outlook Negative

The Rating Action Commentary (RAC) associated with this disclosure form is an integral part of the form.

1. Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used by HR Ratings as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7:

Entity/Instrument	Rating Action	Rating Type	Rating Code
Senior Notes (the Issuance) issued by Unifin Financiera, S.A.B. de C.V., E.N.R. (the Issuer)	Assign	Long Term Rating	HR BBB- (G) / Negative

2. Version of the Procedure or Methodology used to determine the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7:

The rating assigned by HR Ratings to the entity is based in accordance with the following methodologies established by the rating agency:

- Rating Methodology for Non-Bank Financial Institutions, May 2009
<https://www.hrratings.com/docs/metodologia/3.2.3.%20Non%20Bank.pdf>
- Rating Methodology for Sovereign Debt, May 2017
<https://www.hrratings.com/docs/metodologia/0Sovereign%20Debt%20Methodology.pdf>
- ADDENDUM – Rating Methodology for Financial Leasing Agents and Pure Leasing Agents, January 2010
<https://www.hrratings.com/docs/metodologia/3.2.5.%20Leasing%20Agents.pdf>
- General Methodological Criteria, January 2017
https://www.hrratings.com/docs/metodologia/General%20Methodology%20Criteria_Ene17.pdf

3. Main assumptions and principles used in constructing the procedures and methodologies to determine the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7:

The objective of the Rating Methodology for Non-Bank Financial Institutions is to evaluate the financial institution’s ability and willingness to pay its debt through the relevant parameters that specifically affects these conditions. Also, HR Ratings evaluates the institution’s capacity to maintain a certain level of capitalization. For this, the applicable Methodology identifies the main risk indicators within each credit risk category that affect the Non-Bank Financial Institution assessed; both those that are specific to the entity and those from external factors. Regarding the ability to pay, the major sources of risk that could cause delay or default on the settlement of any liability are determined.

To evaluate all the relevant risks that affect the Non-Bank Financial Institution credit quality, HR Ratings classifies them into qualitative risks and quantitative risks. The division of categories is fundamental to differentiate between the numerically measurable factors and based on the financial model (quantitative risks) of the factors that are sensitive to the management of the institution and which are unrelated to the financial model (qualitative risks).

The qualitative risks category includes risk factors such as: 1) Industry risk, 2) Assessment of management and operational risks and 3) Accounting, regulatory and competitive risks. Given the nature of the category, HR Ratings assigns a rating to each of these risks. This category includes factors not only related to the management of the business, but also with growth expectations of the rated institution.

The Financial projections for Non-Bank Financial Institutions represents the structural basis for the quantitative risks category. These projections incorporate historical financial information that the institution delivers to HR Ratings and with which forecasts are prepared minimum for the next 8 quarters. The information required by HR Ratings includes quarterly reports of Income Statements, Balance Sheets, and Cash Flow Statements for the last five years; however, in the event the institution has a shorter financial record, it will be considered from earliest information available.

Contacts

Akira Hirata
Analyst
akira.hirata@hrratings.com

Angel García
Financials Manager
angel.garcia@hrratings.com

Fernando Sandoval
Vice President Financials / ABS
fernando.sandoval@hrratings.com

Definition

The long term global rating assigned to the Senior Notes placed by Unifin is HR BBB- (G) with Negative Outlook. The issuer or issue with this rating provides moderate safety for timely payment of debt obligations. Maintains moderate credit risk on a global scale, with weakness in the ability to pay in adverse economic scenarios.



For the financial projections, the analyst focuses on the capacity of the institution to maintain a capital adequacy ratio and its ability to generate cash flow, even under a high stress scenario. Both factors are fundamental so that the entity can reliably meet its financing needs. The factors the analyst takes into consideration for the projections and to present a reliable scenario include economic environment, industry growth expectations, competition, business plan, business cycle, business financial situation, concentration risks of the portfolio and funding structure, among others. With the historically financial information and with the projection we calculate the key financial ratios for the quantitative analysis. The financial ratios taken into consideration are the profitability level, the operating efficiency, portfolio quality, market risk, solvency ratios and liquidity risk. The final rating represents the sum of the rating assessment given by the qualitative risks analysis and in the quantitative risk analysis.

4. Potential limitations of the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17q-7

- HR Ratings does not validate, guarantee or certify the accuracy, correctness or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for results obtained from the use of such information.
- Ratings and/or opinions assigned by HR Ratings are based on an analysis of the creditworthiness of an entity, issue or issuer, and do not necessarily imply a statistical likelihood of default.
- The credit ratings do not opine on the liquidity of the issuer's securities or stock.
- The credit ratings do not consider the possible loss severity on an obligation default.
- The credit ratings are not an opinion of the market value of any issuer's securities or stock, or the possibility that this value suffer a deterioration.

5. Information on the uncertainty of the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17q-7

The Analysis Committee noted no material limitations on the reliability, accuracy and quality on the data relied on in determining the credit rating.

The Analysis Committee noted no material limitations on the scope of historical data or on the accessibility to certain documents or other information that would have better informed any credit rating listed in this disclosure form.

The ratings and/or opinions assigned are issued on behalf of HR Ratings, not of its management or technical staff, and do not constitute an investment recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any instrument nor to perform any business, investment or other operation. The assigned ratings and/or opinions issued may be subject to updates at any time, in accordance with HR Ratings' methodologies.

6. Use of third party due diligence services as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17q-7

HR Ratings did not use third party due diligence services for this rating.

7. Use of servicer or remittance reports to conduct surveillance of the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17q-7

HR Ratings does not use Servicer or Remittance Reports to conduct surveillance of this rating.

8. Description of types of data about any obligor, issue, security or money market instrument relied upon for determining credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17q-7

Among the main information used for the rating is:

- Annual Audited reports obtained from public record, regulatory filings and from the Company.
- Inter-annual financial statements and operational results.
- Projections, budget and guidelines provided by the Company.
- Presentations provided by the Company.
- Credit Loan and Leasing Portfolio.
- Funding Structure.
- Liquidity Positions.
- Derivatives Position.
- Corporate Governance.
- Accounting Policies.



9. Overall assessment of quality of information available and considered in determining credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17q-7

The quality of the information provided by the entity is considered to be consistent with the quality observed within the asset class.

10. Information relating to conflicts of interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17q-7

The rating was solicited by the entity or issuer, or on its behalf, and therefore, HR Ratings has received the corresponding fees for the rating services provided. The following information can be found on our website at www.hrratings.com: (i) The internal procedures for the monitoring and surveillance of our ratings and the periodicity with which they are formally updated, (ii) the criteria used by HR Ratings for the withdrawal or suspension of the maintenance of a rating, and (iii) the procedure and process of voting on our Analysis Committee. The Analysis has been performed with complete independence from the received fees or any other business relation with the entity and in absolute concordance with the applicable methodologies.

The ratings and/or opinions assigned are issued on behalf of HR Ratings, not of its management or technical staff, and do not constitute an investment recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any instrument nor to perform any business, investment or other operation. The assigned ratings and/or opinions issued may be subject to updates at any time, in accordance with HR Ratings' methodologies.

11. Explanation or measure of potential volatility to the credit rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17q-7

The main factors that could lead to the volatility of the rating are:

- An increase in non-performing loans due to the aggressive growth plan that considers the entry into new potential markets, and a slowdown in economic conditions which could impact the payment capacity of current clients.
- Rate spread reduction: Caused by the increase in the funding rates from the restrictive monetary policy by the Mexican Central Bank- which would increase the pressures on the cost from borrowing funds.
- Solvency deterioration due to the increase of risky assets and the negative impacts from the net losses forecasted in 2017 in a stress scenario.
- A strong increase in expenses due to an aggressive portfolio growth and the need to strengthen the portfolio collection department.
- Possible inorganic growth through company mergers or acquisitions.
- Changes in financial regulation which may negatively impact the expected growth in the company's portfolio.
- Changes in Mexico's sovereign rating by HR Ratings, which implies deteriorated macroeconomic conditions to the general performance of Financials Sector.

12. Historical performance and expected probability of default and expected loss in event of default as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17q-7

For historical performance of each rating listed in the disclosure form, click on the link in the ratings table presented on the first page.

Our credit ratings need to be understood as rankings of the relative creditworthiness of different entities or credits. Creditworthiness takes into consideration both the ability and willingness to meet debt obligations in the manner prescribed in the relevant documentation. Default refers to the noncompliance of previously agreed obligations.

As our ratings measure relative creditworthiness they do not necessarily reflect any specific statistical probability of default. In order to make more valid rankings of creditworthiness our different methodologies will apply stress case scenarios to complement our base case analysis.

13. Assumptions made by HR Ratings in determining announced credit ratings and examples of how assumptions impact the rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17q-7

- The rating for the Company is based on the adequate solvency metrics due to the constant generation of profits, which has strengthened its equity, even if metrics were slightly below our base scenario forecasts at the end of 1Q17



A NRSRO Rating*

Credit
Rating
Agency

UNIFIN

Senior Notes USD\$450.0m

ISIN US90470TAB44

HR BBB- (G)

Financial Institutions
June 20th, 2017

- Solid portfolio quality with a non-performing loan ratio of 1.1% at the end of 1Q17, which surpassed our expectations in a base scenario of a non-performing loan ratio of 1.4%
- Good profitability levels at 1Q17, even if Average ROEE and Average ROAA decreased compared to the levels observed at 1Q16. This was due to an extraordinary benefit observed in 2015 due to the valuation of FX derivatives, which was not present in 2016
- In addition, the total debt of the Company counts with different financial instrument to hedge the volatility in the interest's rate and currency.

14. Representations, warranties and enforcement mechanisms available to investors as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of Rule 17g-7

The reporting of representations, warranties, and enforcement mechanisms does not apply to the credit rating listed in this disclosure form as it is not assigned to an asset-backed security.

15. Attestation as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

With respect to each credit rating in this disclosure form, Félix Boni, who served on the rating committee and is thus a person with responsibility for each credit rating action announced in the associated Rating Action Commentary, state that to the best of their knowledge:

- No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities;
- The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security or money market instrument being rated and any relevant credit enhancement; and
- The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the risks and merits of the obligor, security or money market instrument and any relevant credit enhancement

This attestation is based on (i) all relevant material reviewed by the committee chair in connection with each rating action announced in this RAC; (ii) the committee chair's participation in the rating committee that determined each credit rating action announced in this RAC; and (iii) attestations provided to the committee chair by all other voting members of the rating committee as part of the rating process.



Credit
Rating
Agency

UNIFIN

Senior Notes USD\$450.0m
ISIN US90470TAB44

HR BBB- (G)

Financial Institutions
June 20th, 2017

A NRSRO Rating*

HR Ratings Senior Management

Management

Chairman of the Board

Alberto I. Ramos +52 55 1500 3130
alberto.ramos@hrratings.com

Vice- President

Aníbal Habeica +52 55 1500 3130
anibal.habeica@hrratings.com

Chief Executive Officer

Fernando Montes de Oca +52 55 1500 3130
fernando.montesdeoca@hrratings.com

Analysis

Chief Credit Officer

Felix Boni +52 55 1500 3133
felix.boni@hrratings.com

Chief Operating Officer

Álvaro Rangel +52 55 8647 3835
alvaro.rangel@hrratings.com

Public Finance / Infrastructure

Ricardo Gallegos +52 55 1500 3139
ricardo.gallegos@hrratings.com

Financial Institutions / ABS

Fernando Sandoval +52 55 1253 6546
fernando.sandoval@hrratings.com

Roberto Ballinez +52 55 1500 3143
roberto.ballinez@hrratings.com

Corporates / ABS

Luis Quintero +52 55 1500 3146
luis.quintero@hrratings.com

José Luis Cano +52 55 1500 0763
joseluis.cano@hrratings.com

Regulation

Chief Risk Officer

Rogelio Argüelles +52 181 8187 9309
rogelio.arguelles@hrratings.com

Head Compliance Officer

Laura Mariscal +52 55 1500 0761
laura.mariscal@hrratings.com

Rafael Colado +52 55 1500 3817
rafael.colado@hrratings.com

Business Development

Business Development

Francisco Valle +52 55 1500 3134
francisco.valle@hrratings.com



Credit
Rating
Agency

UNIFIN

Senior Notes USD\$450.0m

ISIN US90470TAB44

HR BBB- (G)

Financial Institutions
June 20th, 2017

A NRSRO Rating*

Mexico: Avenida Prolongación Paseo de la Reforma #1015 torre A, piso 3, Col. Santa Fe, México, D.F., CP 01210, Tel 52 (55) 1500 3130.
United States: One World Trade Center, Suite 8500, New York, New York, ZIP Code 10007, Tel +1 (212) 220 5735.

HR Ratings de México, S.A. de C.V. (HR Ratings), is a Credit Rating Agency authorized by the National Banking and Securities Commission (CNBV), registered by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) for the assets of government securities, as described in clause (v) of section 3(a)(62)(A) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and certified as Credit Rating Agency (CRA) by the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA).

The rating was solicited by the entity or issuer, or on its behalf, and therefore, HR Ratings has received the corresponding fees for the rating services provided. The following information can be found on our website at www.hrratings.com: (i) The internal procedures for the monitoring and surveillance of our ratings and the periodicity with which they are formally updated, (ii) the criteria used by HR Ratings for the withdrawal or suspension of the maintenance of a rating, and (iii) the procedure and process of voting on our Analysis Committee.

HR Ratings de México SA de CV (HR Ratings) ratings and/or opinions are opinions of credit quality and/or regarding the ability of management to administer assets; or opinions regarding the efficacy of activities to meet the nature or purpose of the business on the part of issuers, other entities or sectors, and are based exclusively on the characteristics of the entity, issuer or operation, independent of any activity or business that exists between HR Ratings and the entity or issuer. The ratings and/or opinions assigned are issued on behalf of HR Ratings, not of its management or technical staff, and do not constitute an investment recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any instrument nor to perform any business, investment or other operation. The assigned ratings and/or opinions issued may be subject to updates at any time, in accordance with HR Ratings' methodologies.

HR Ratings bases its ratings and/or opinions on information obtained from sources that are believed to be accurate and reliable. HR Ratings, however, does not validate, guarantee or certify the accuracy, correctness or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for results obtained from the use of such information. Most issuers of debt securities rated by HR Ratings have paid a fee for the credit rating based on the amount and type of debt issued. The degree of creditworthiness of an issue or issuer, opinions regarding asset manager quality or ratings related to an entity's performance of its business purpose are subject to change, which can produce a rating upgrade or downgrade, without implying any responsibility for HR Ratings. The ratings issued by HR Ratings are assigned in an ethical manner, in accordance with healthy market practices and in compliance with applicable regulations found on the www.hrratings.com rating agency webpage. There Code of Conduct, HR Ratings' rating methodologies, rating criteria and current ratings can also be found on the website.

Ratings and/or opinions assigned by HR Ratings are based on an analysis of the creditworthiness of an entity, issue or issuer, and do not necessarily imply a statistical likelihood of default, HR Ratings defines as the inability or unwillingness to satisfy the contractually stipulated payment terms of an obligation, such that creditors and/or bondholders are forced to take action in order to recover their investment or to restructure the debt due to a situation of stress faced by the debtor. Without disregard to the aforementioned point, in order to validate our ratings, our methodologies consider stress scenarios as a complement to the analysis derived from a base case scenario. The rating fee that HR Ratings receives from issuers generally ranges from US\$1,000 to US\$1,000,000 (or the foreign currency equivalent) per issue. In some instances, HR Ratings will rate all or some of the issues of a particular issuer for an annual fee. It is estimated that the annual fees range from US\$5,000 to US\$2,000,000 (or the foreign currency equivalent).